



# PEN Consultants

PEN Consultants, LLC  
13423 Blanco Rd #3124  
San Antonio, TX 78216  
<https://penconsultants.com>  
Office: 830-446-3411

The following is a high level comparison matrix of our core services. Across the top are the core services, down the left side are the parameters and details of those services.

Our standard & premium services are not ad hoc, time boxed to 1-2 weeks, “see what we can find”, shoot-from-the-hip tests. We meticulously follow and run-to-completion our testing guides which are based on industry testing standards, methodologies, and processes. To be clear, most of our tests do include a portion of “free styling”, but that is balanced with the need to also be thorough in our coverage.

If a parameter is labeled “Required” or “Highly Recommended”, but that is not compatible with your policy, then you will want to look at a different service. If no core service fits exactly what you are in need of, that is not a problem! We can follow the “Custom Approach” column and call the service something like “Security Testing w/Penetration”.

You can find a general comparison of our penetration testing services here:  
<https://penconsultants.com/pentestingTierComparison>.

Other unique aspects to how we operate: <https://penconsultants.com/whyPENConsultants>

We would be honored to serve your organization and assist with your information and cybersecurity testing needs. Reach out to start the conversation! <https://penconsultants.com/contactus>

	Automated Testing	Penetration Testing (Essential & Basic)	Penetration testing (Standard & Premium)	Red Teaming Technique Simulation	Red Teaming Adversary Simulation	Social Engineering	"Security Testing" Custom Approach
<b>OVERVIEW / TOP QUESTIONS</b>							
<b>Description</b>	Our most simplistic testing service that utilizes industry standard automated vulnerability scanners	Essential: Partially Automated / Partially Manual: The same as "Vulnerability Scanning", with each potential finding penetrated to verify risk  Basic: Mostly Manual - This is a "see what the tester can find/do" / "just like an attacker" approach  Pentest Tier Comparisons: <a href="https://penconsultants.com/PentestingTierComparison.pdf">https://penconsultants.com/PentestingTierComparison.pdf</a>	Comprehensive, automated, semi-manual, and manual testing performed to industry penetration testing standards  Pentest Tier Comparisons: <a href="https://penconsultants.com/PentestingTierComparison.pdf">https://penconsultants.com/PentestingTierComparison.pdf</a>	Isolated mitigation and detection verification against a custom risk-tailored and prioritized list of trending attacker techniques	Testing of blue team staff, while attempting stealthy recon and action on pre-defined objectives "just like an attacker would operate" or as training via a more overt/controlled purple team tabletop or full-blown incident response exercise (IRE)	Phishing (email-based), SMS (smishing), phone (vishing), in-person impersonation (physical SE), baiting (ex. USB drops), social media, mailed letters/packages, etc.	If our standards based service offerings do not exactly fit your need, we can take just about any approach you request, and simply call it "Security Testing" or similar
<b>Testing Goal(s)</b>	Identify common technical vulns, misconfigurations, & weaknesses	Identify common technical vulns, misconfigurations, & weaknesses	Identify as many vulns as possible, beyond what an automated scanner or time-boxed testing can find	Identify as many individual gaps in security solutions, controls, and detections as budgeted time allows	Identify gaps in detection and response, as well as possible in analysis, containment, eradication, and recovery.	Gauge your user's ability to distinguish between legitimate and varying sophistication levels of social engineering	Varies
<b>Common Scope</b>	Corporate network (internal, external, cloud), web apps/APIs	Corporate network (internal, external, cloud), web apps/APIs  Basic also includes: mobile and thick apps, hardware/IoT, wireless	Corporate network (internal, external, cloud), web apps/APIs, mobile and thick apps, hardware/IoT, wireless	Monitoring of corporate network (internal, external, cloud), web apps/APIs, wireless, physical	All the things	Users of corporate networks, hardware/IoT, wireless, physical, etc.	Varies
<b>Coverage</b>	Limits of scanner	Essential: Limits of scanner  Basic: Limits of budgeted testing time	Varies*  NOTE: Our Premium level leaves "no stone is left unturned", whereas our Standard level prioritizes focus	Focused*  NOTE: Focus is restricted to the prioritized testing list, and by budgeted hours	Laser focused*  NOTE: As in, ~99% of the authorized scope will be ignored to focus on the ~1% that may lead to AOO	Focused*  NOTE: For example: email only if phishing is requested	Varies
<b>Meets Compliance Testing Requirements</b>	Maybe	Checks the box	Yes*  NOTE: Standard meets all known compliance standards and requirements; Premium far exceeds the same	N/A	Likely Not	N/A	Varies
<b>Industry testing standards our proprietary methodology incorporates</b>	N/A	N/A	Network, Wireless: PTES, NIST SP 800-115, OSSTMM, etc.  Web, Mobile: OWASP	MITRE ATT&CK framework, and others as needed	MITRE ATT&CK framework, and aspects of PTES, NIST SP 800-115, OSSTMM, and OWASP	Varies	Varies
<b>Start Date (from client ready date)</b>	0-8 weeks out*	0-8 weeks out*	0-8 weeks out*	0-8 weeks out*	0-8 weeks out*	0-8 weeks out*	0-8 weeks out*
NOTE: The 'client ready date' is when all deliverables are verified and ready for testing. Our testers are usually engaged in other projects, which must be completed first.							
<b>Testing Timeframe (from start date)</b>	1-2 weeks*	2-3 weeks*	3-6 weeks*	Starts at 2 weeks*	2-6 months* adv sim; 1-3 months IRE or tabletop	1-2 weeks*	Varies
NOTE: If another firm claims to complete testing faster, they are likely not being as thorough as we are. Certain tests cannot be rushed without risking account locks, resource strain, missed findings, etc.				NOTE: This is for a minimally viable engagement, but more hours/time is generally recommended	NOTE: This is for a minimally viable engagement, but more hours/time is generally recommended		
<b>Typical number of findings</b>	Varies	Essential: 12 (std dev of 5) - typically 7 - 16  Basic: There are often less findings from this approach	Premium: 26 (std dev of 8) - typically 23 - 35  Standard: 17 (std dev of 7) - typically 14 - 26	Varies	Varies	Varies	Varies
<b>False Positives (FP)</b>	~94% Info, ~2% FP	100% actionable findings	100% actionable findings	100% actionable findings	100% actionable findings	100% actionable findings	Varies
<b>Usage of our testing platform for internal testing (AKA our "dropbox") and provisioning of VDI/Workstation</b>	Required / Highly Recommended*	Essential: Required for Internal  Basic: Highly Recommended	Required / Highly Recommended*	Required / TBD*	Varies*	Rare / Highly Recommended*	Varies
NOTE: See details below							



	Automated Testing	Penetration Testing (Essential & Basic)	Penetration testing (Standard & Premium)	Red Teaming Technique Simulation	Red Teaming Adversary Simulation	Social Engineering	"Security Testing" Custom Approach
<b>Control Gaps</b>	Limited	Limited	Yes	Yes	Limited	Partial	Varies
<b>Detection Gaps</b>	Limited	Limited	<i>Partial*</i>  NOTE: Our penetration testing service does not have a core focus on detection testing, but should "light up" your detections	Yes	Yes	Partial	Varies
<b>Process Gaps</b>	N/A	N/A	<i>Partial*</i>  NOTE: As with detection gaps, there are some checks, but it is not a core focus	Varies	Yes	Partial	Varies
<b>IT/Security Staff</b>	N/A	N/A	Rare	Rare	Yes	Yes*  NOTE: Assuming this staff is included in targeted testing	Varies
<b>End Users</b>	N/A	N/A	<i>Limited*</i>  NOTE: Testing against weak user passwords, unsolicited MFA pushes and bombing, etc. but generally NOT "attacking the user"	N/A	Varies	Yes	Varies
<b>CLIENT MUST-HAVES</b>							
<b>Detection Capability</b>	N/A	N/A	Recommended	<i>Required*</i>  NOTE: One of the primary goals of this service is to test detections	<i>Required*</i>  NOTE: One of the primary goals of this service is to test detections and the follow-on incident response	N/A	Varies
<b>SOC</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	<i>3rd party SOC at min*</i>  NOTE: Requires close coordination w/detection team/MSSP to validate alerts	<i>In-house SOC required*</i>  NOTE: A primary goal is to test YOUR incident response process, not that of a 3rd party	N/A	Varies
<b>Incident Response Capability</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Required	N/A	Varies
<b>CLIENT DELIVERABLES (before testing)</b>							
<b>Call / Conversation</b>	Recommended	Recommended	Required	Required	Required	Recommended	Required
<b>Scoping Questionnaire</b>	~8-10 questions*	~8-10 questions*	~15-20 questions*	~15-20 questions*	~20+ questions*	~6-8 questions*	Varies
<p>NOTE: Vulnerability scanning/assessments and social engineering are fairly cookie cutter, with limited info needed. We encourage you to perform these on your own where possible (we can consult).</p> <p>Penetration testing is customized, requiring an understanding of your environment and risks to ensure proper scope and approach.</p> <p>Technical simulation involves coordinating prioritized tests and monitoring their execution.</p> <p>Advanced simulation is highly tailored and requires ongoing conversation with our "trusted insider" throughout the engagement.</p>							



	Automated Testing	Penetration Testing (Essential & Basic)	Penetration testing (Standard & Premium)	Red Teaming Technique Simulation	Red Teaming Adversary Simulation	Social Engineering	"Security Testing" Custom Approach
<b>Purple Teaming</b> NOTE: Not only can your team benefit greatly from this approach, but testing thoroughness can as well. <a href="https://penconsultants.com/purpleTeaming">https://penconsultants.com/purpleTeaming</a>	Available	Available	Recommended*	Required*	Required / Varies*	Recommended	Available
<b>Pre-coordination of mitigation - testing activity treated friendly, not hostile</b> NOTE: One of the primary difference between red teaming and other testing is being treated as a hostile threat versus authorized testing. NOTE: Except for red teaming, testing is NOT compatible when teams actively mitigate vulnerabilities or block attacks without prior coordination, as testing will be negatively impacted (ex. false negatives) and incur additional fees.	Required*	Required for Essential, Highly Recommended for Basic*	Required*	Required / Varies*	Varies* NOTE: There is better value when our "trusted insider" provides at least notification this is occurring or if it is a purple team engagement.	Required*	Varies
<b>TESTING DETAILS</b>							
<b>Client access to real-time progress, findings, notes, etc.</b> <a href="https://penconsultants.com/realtimeTransparency">https://penconsultants.com/realtimeTransparency</a>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes* NOTE: At minimum, the "trusted insider" would have full access	Yes	Varies
<b>Highly skilled &amp; experienced tester(s) assigned</b>	Varies	Typical	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Varies
<b>Our testers just "wing it"</b>	Never	Essential: Never Basic: Yes, that's what this tier is	Never	Never	Never	Never	Varies
<b>Recon</b>	N/A	Essential: N/A Basic: Varies	Yes	Rare	Typical	Rare	Varies
<b>Complete Endpoint Discovery (ex. all RFC 1918)</b>	Yes	Essential: Yes Basic: Varies	Yes	Rare	Varies	N/A	Varies
<b>Full Service Enumeration (ex. 65k TCP ports)</b>	Yes	Essential: Yes Basic: Varies	Yes	Varies	Varies	N/A	Varies
<b>Automated Vulnerability Scanner(s)</b>	Yes	Essential: Yes Basic: Varies	Yes	Typical	Varies	N/A	Varies
<b>Manual Vulnerability Testing</b>	N/A	Essential: N/A Basic: Varies	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Varies
<b>Vulnerability Verification</b>	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Varies* NOTE: Vuln identification is not a primary goal	Yes	Varies
<b>Penetration</b>	N/A	Essential: Shallow. Only tests what an automated scanner identifies, penetrates "one layer deep" as compared to penetration testing (for example) Basic: Varies	Moderate	Varies	Deep	Varies	Varies
<b>Privilege Escalate</b>	N/A	Essential: Rare Basic: Varies	Yes	Varies	Typical	Rare	Varies

