



PEN Consultants

PEN Consultants, LLC
13423 Blanco Rd #3124
San Antonio, TX 78216
<https://penconsultants.com>
Office: 830-446-3411

The following is a high level comparison matrix of our core services. Across the top are the core services, down the left side are the parameters and details of those services.

Our standard & premium services are not ad hoc, time boxed to 1-2 weeks, “see what we can find”, shoot-from-the-hip tests. We meticulously follow and run-to-completion our testing guides which are based on industry testing standards, methodologies, and processes. To be clear, most of our tests do include a portion of “free styling”, but that is balanced with the need to also be thorough in our coverage.

If a parameter is labeled “Required” or “Highly Recommended”, but that is not compatible with your policy, then you will want to look at a different service. If no core service fits exactly what you are in need of, that is not a problem! We can follow the “Custom Approach” column and call the service something like “Security Testing w/Penetration”.

You can find a general comparison of our penetration testing services here:
<https://penconsultants.com/pentestingTierComparison>.

Other unique aspects to how we operate: <https://penconsultants.com/whyPENConsultants>

We would be honored to serve your organization and assist with your information and cybersecurity testing needs. Reach out to start the conversation! <https://penconsultants.com/contactus>

| | Automated Testing | Penetration Testing (Basic) | Penetration testing (Standard & Premium) | Red Teaming Technique Simulation | Red Teaming Adversary Simulation | Social Engineering | "Security Testing" Custom Approach |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| OVERVIEW / TOP QUESTIONS | | | | | | | |
| Description | Our most simplistic testing service that utilizes industry standard automated vulnerability scanners | Sub Option #1: Partially Automated / Partially Manual: The same as "Vulnerability Scanning", with each potential finding penetrated to verify risk Sub Option #2: Mostly Manual - This is a "see what the tester can find/do" / "just like an attacker" approach Pentest Tier Comparisons: https://penconsultants.com/PentestingTierComparison.pdf | Comprehensive, automated, semi-manual, and manual testing performed to industry penetration testing standards Pentest Tier Comparisons: https://penconsultants.com/PentestingTierComparison.pdf | Isolated mitigation and detection verification against a custom risk-tailored and prioritized list of trending attacker techniques | Testing of blue team staff, while attempting stealthy recon and action on pre-defined objectives "just like an attacker would operate" or as training via a more over/controlled purple team tabletop or full-blown incident response exercise (IRE) | Phishing (email-based), SMS (smishing), phone (vishing), in-person impersonation (physical SE), baiting (ex: USB drops), social media, mailed letters/packages, etc. | If our standards based service offerings do not exactly fit your need, we can take just about any approach you request, and simply call it "Security Testing" or similar |
| Testing Goal(s) | Identify common technical vulns, misconfigurations, & weaknesses | Identify common technical vulns, misconfigurations, & weaknesses | Identify as many vulns as possible, beyond what an automated scanner can find | Identify as many individual gaps in security solutions, controls, and detections as budgeted time allows | Identify gaps in detection and response, as well as possible in analysis, containment, eradication, and recovery. | Gauge your user's ability to distinguish between legitimate and varying sophistication levels of social engineering | Varies |
| Common Scope | Corporate network (internal, external, cloud), web apps/APIs | Corporate network (internal, external, cloud), web apps/APIs | Corporate network (internal, external, cloud), web apps/APIs, mobile and thick apps, hardware/IoT, wireless | Monitoring of corporate network (internal, external, cloud), web apps/APIs, wireless, physical | All the things | Users of corporate networks, hardware/IoT, wireless, physical, etc. | Varies |
| Coverage | Limits of scanner | Sub Option #1: Limits of scanner Sub Option #2: Limits of budgeted testing time | Varies* NOTE: Our Premium level leaves "no stone is left unturned", whereas our Standard level prioritizes focus | Focused* NOTE: Focus is restricted to the prioritized testing list, and by budgeted hours | Laser focused* NOTE: As in, ~99% of the authorized scope will be ignored to focus on the ~1% that may lead to AOO | Focused* NOTE: For example: email only if phishing is requested | Varies |
| Meets Compliance Testing Requirements NOTE: PCI DSS*, SOC 2, HIPAA, NCUA, HITRUST, GDPR, FFIEC, CIS Controls, RBI, etc. | Maybe | Checks the box | Yes* NOTE: Standard meets all known compliance standards and requirements; Premium far exceeds the same | N/A | Likely Not | N/A | Varies |
| Industry testing standards our proprietary methodology incorporates | N/A | N/A | Network, Wireless: PTES, NIST SP 800-115, OSSTMM, etc. Web, Mobile: OWASP | MITRE ATT&CK framework, and others as needed | MITRE ATT&CK framework, and aspects of PTES, NIST SP 800-115, OSSTMM, and OWASP | Varies | Varies |
| Start Date (from client ready date) NOTE: The 'client ready date' is when all deliverables are verified and ready for testing. Our testers are usually engaged in other projects, which must be completed first. | 0-8 weeks out* | 0-8 weeks out* | 0-8 weeks out* | 0-8 weeks out* | 0-8 weeks out* | 0-8 weeks out* | 0-8 weeks out* |
| Testing Timeframe (from start date) NOTE: If another firm claims to complete testing faster, they are likely not being as thorough as we are. Certain tests cannot be rushed without risking account locks, resource strain, missed findings, etc. | 1-2 weeks* | 2-3 weeks* | 3-6 weeks* | Starts at 2 weeks* NOTE: This is for a minimally viable engagement, but more hours/time is generally recommended | 2-6 months* adv sim; 1-3 months IRE or tabletop NOTE: This is for a minimally viable engagement, but more hours/time is generally recommended | 1-2 weeks* | Varies |
| Typical number of findings | Varies | Sub Option #1: 12 (std dev of 5) - typically 7 - 16 Sub Option #2: There are often less findings from this approach | Premium: 26 (std dev of 8) - typically 23 - 35 Standard: 17 (std dev of 7) - typically 14 - 26 | Varies | Varies | Varies | Varies |
| False Positives (FP) | ~94% Info, ~2% FP | 100% actionable findings | 100% actionable findings | 100% actionable findings | 100% actionable findings | 100% actionable findings | Varies |
| Usage of our testing platform for internal testing (AKA our "dropbox") and provisioning of VDI/Workstation NOTE: See details below | Required / Highly Recommended* | Sub Option #1: Required for Internal Sub Option #2: Highly Recommended | Required / Highly Recommended* | Required / TBD* | Varies* | Rare / Highly Recommended* | Varies |
| Exceptions/whitelisting in firewalls, WAFs, IPSs, etc. - prevent interference NOTE: See details below | Required* | Sub Option #1: Required* Sub Option #2: Highly Recommended | Required* | Partial* | Varies* | Highly Recommended* | Varies |
| Whitebox, full access and knowledge NOTE: See details below | Highly Recommended* | Highly Recommended* | Highly Recommended* | Highly Recommended* | Highly Recommended* | Highly Recommended* | Highly Recommended* |
| Testing Credentials - user, admin, domain admin NOTE: See details below | Net: Highly Recommended* Web: Required* | Net: Highly Recommended* Web: Required* | Net, wireless: Highly Recommended* Web, mobile, thick: Required* | Highly Recommended / TBD* | Varies* | Partial / Highly Recommended* | Varies |
| Purple Teaming NOTE: See details below | Available | Available | Recommended* | Required* | Required / Varies* | Recommended | Available |

| | Automated Testing | Penetration Testing (Basic) | Penetration testing (Standard & Premium) | Red Teaming Technique Simulation | Red Teaming Adversary Simulation | Social Engineering | "Security Testing" Custom Approach |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|---|
| Pre-coordination of mitigation - testing activity treated friendly, not hostile | <i>Required*</i> | <i>Required*</i> | <i>Required*</i> | <i>Required / Varies*</i> | <i>Varies*</i> | <i>Required*</i> | <i>Varies</i> |
| NOTE: See details below | | | | | | | |
| Other client deliverables | Scoping questionnaire, 2-3 hrs prep | Scoping questionnaire, 3-5 hrs prep | Scoping call and questionnaire, 5-8 hrs prep | Scoping call and questionnaire, 8+ hrs prep, 1-2 hrs/day during testing | Scoping call and questionnaire, 24+ hrs prep, 1-2 hrs/day during testing | Scoping questionnaire, 2-3 hrs prep, 1-2 hrs/day during testing | Scoping call and questionnaire, prep time, ongoing support, |
| NOTE: See details below | | | | | | | |
| Budget / Cost | External Net: \$2,500+ Internal Net: \$4,250+ Web: \$4,000+ | External Net: \$4,500+ Internal Net: \$7,000+ Web: \$6,000+ | External Net: \$9,000+ Internal Net: \$12,000+ Web: \$9,000+ Mobile: \$11,000+ Thick: \$7,000+ Wireless: \$5,000+ | \$12,000+ | \$24,000+ | Phishing/email: \$2,750+ Vishing/voice: \$3,000+ Smishing/SMS: \$3,000+ Baiting/USB: \$3,250+ Physical: \$2,250+ plus travel | <i>Varies</i> |
| NOTE: we guarantee the best value, but we will NOT be the "cheapest" | | | | | | | |
| Primary cost drivers (in addition to graybox balance, testing accounts, interference, deadlines) | Net: Active IPs Web: Major functions (pages/API endpoints), number of user roles | Net: Active IPs Web: Major functions (pages/API endpoints), number of user roles | Net: Active IPs Web, mobile, thick: Major functions (pages/API endpoints), number of user roles Wireless: APs, SSIDs, clients, travel (if needed), sqft | Desired number of techniques | Goals and objectives | Users targeted, types of SE requested, travel (if needed) | <i>Varies</i> |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| TYPICAL SCOPE (separate quotes) | | | | | | | |
| External Network | Available | Available | Available | Available | Partial, all-in-one | Available | Available |
| Internal Network | Available | Available | Available | Available | Partial, all-in-one | Rare | Available |
| Cloud | Available | Available | Available | Available | Partial, all-in-one | Available | Available |
| Web Apps/APIs | Available | Available | Available | Available | Partial, all-in-one | Rare | Available |
| Mobile Applications | N/A | N/A | Available | Rare | Partial, all-in-one | Rare | Available |
| Software/Thick Apps | N/A | N/A | Available | Rare | Partial, all-in-one | Rare | Available |
| Hardware/IoT | N/A | N/A | Available | Rare | Partial, all-in-one | Available | Available |
| Wireless | N/A | N/A | Available | Available | Partial, all-in-one | Available | Available |
| Physical | N/A | N/A | N/A | Available | Available | Available | Available |
| Multiple services performed in parallel | <i>Highly Recommended*</i> | <i>Highly Recommended*</i> | <i>Highly Recommended*</i> | <i>Highly Recommended*</i> | <i>Varies</i> | <i>Highly Recommended*</i> | <i>Varies</i> |
| NOTE: Multiple requested testing services are usually performed in parallel. Sequential or staged testing incurs additional fees. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| VULNERABILITIES & WEAKNESSES IDENTIFIED | | | | | | | |
| "Low hanging fruit" | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Limited | Yes | <i>Varies</i> |
| Misconfigurations | Partial | Partial | Yes | Partial | Limited | Partial | <i>Varies</i> |
| Control Gaps | Limited | Limited | Yes | Yes | Limited | Partial | <i>Varies</i> |
| Detection Gaps | Limited | Limited | <i>Partial*</i> | Yes | Yes | Partial | <i>Varies</i> |
| | | | NOTE: Our penetration testing service does not have a core focus on detection testing, but should "light up" your detections | | | | |
| Process Gaps | N/A | N/A | <i>Partial*</i> | <i>Varies</i> | Yes | Partial | <i>Varies</i> |
| | | | NOTE: As with detection gaps, there are some checks, but it is not a core focus | | | | |
| IT/Security Staff | N/A | N/A | Rare | Rare | Yes | Yes* | <i>Varies</i> |
| | | | | | | NOTE: Assuming this staff is included in targeted testing | |
| End Users | N/A | N/A | <i>Limited*</i> | N/A | <i>Varies</i> | Yes | <i>Varies</i> |
| | | | NOTE: Testing against weak user passwords, unsolicited MFA pushes and bombing, etc. but generally NOT "attacking the user" | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| CLIENT MUST-HAVES | | | | | | | |

| | Automated Testing | Penetration Testing (Basic) | Penetration testing (Standard & Premium) | Red Teaming Technique Simulation | Red Teaming Adversary Simulation | Social Engineering | "Security Testing" Custom Approach |
|--|--|---|---|--|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Detection Capability | N/A | N/A | Recommended | Required* NOTE: One of the primary goals of this service is to test detections | Required* NOTE: One of the primary goals of this service is to test detections and the follow-on incident response | N/A | Varies |
| SOC | N/A | N/A | N/A | 3rd party SOC at min* NOTE: Requires close coordination w/detection team/MSSP to validate alerts | In-house SOC required* NOTE: A primary goal is to test YOUR incident response process, not that of a 3rd party | N/A | Varies |
| Incident Response Capability | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | Required | N/A | Varies |
| CLIENT DELIVERABLES (before testing) | | | | | | | |
| Call / Conversation | Recommended | Recommended | Required | Required | Required | Recommended | Required |
| Scoping Questionnaire NOTE: Vulnerability scanning/assessments and social engineering are fairly cookie cutter, with limited info needed. We encourage you to perform these on your own where possible (we can consult). Penetration testing is customized, requiring an understanding of your environment and risks to ensure proper scope and approach. Technical simulation involves coordinating prioritized tests and monitoring their execution. Advanced simulation is highly tailored and requires ongoing conversation with our "trusted insider" throughout the engagement. | ~8-10 questions* | ~8-10 questions* | ~15-20 questions* | ~15-20 questions* | ~20+ questions* | ~6-8 questions* | Varies |
| Prep Time NOTE: setting up accesses and sending needed information | 2-3 hrs over a week | 3-5 hrs over a week | 5-8 hrs over ~2 weeks | ~8 hrs over ~3 weeks | ~24 hrs over a month | 2-3 hrs over a week | Varies |
| Usage of our testing platform (AKA our "dropbox") NOTE: Internal network testing requires an internal testing platform. Costs will be significantly higher if we need to build our toolset on another platform. | Required / Highly Recommended* | Sub Option #1: Required for Internal Sub Option #2: Highly Recommended | Required / Highly Recommended* | Required / TBD* | Varies* | Rare | Varies |
| Provisioning of VDI/Workstation NOTE: This is required for whitebox network testing and is highly recommended otherwise. Many tests cannot be performed efficiently, safely, or perhaps at all without it. | Required / Highly Recommended* | Sub Option #1: Required for Internal Sub Option #2: Highly Recommended | Required / Highly Recommended* | Required / TBD* | Varies* | Highly Recommended* | Varies |
| Exceptions/whitelisting in firewalls, WAFs, IPSs, etc. - prevent interference NOTE: Reputable testing standards mandate whitelisting of tester IPs, domains, testing platforms, etc. for all but red teaming. Without it, testing will take months instead of weeks, costs may double, ROI will be minimal, and the report will include a Critical-level finding (in accordance with industry standards). https://penconsultants.com/shields | Required* | Sub Option #1: Required* Sub Option #2: Highly Recommended | Required* | Partial* NOTE: it is often necessary to temporarily whitelist layers of security in order to test the desired scope | Varies* NOTE: generally there would NOT be whitelisting, but some situations do still warrant it | Highly Recommended* | Varies |
| Whitebox, full access and knowledge NOTE: Blackbox testing costs significantly more (up to double), sacrifices ROI, and is discouraged by compliance standards. It should be avoided, as it leads to extreme value loss without full knowledge and access. https://penconsultants.com/whiteboxApproach | Highly Recommended* | Highly Recommended* | Highly Recommended* | Highly Recommended* | Highly Recommended* | Highly Recommended* | Highly Recommended* |
| Testing Credentials - user, admin, domain admin NOTE: There are numerous tests and checks that cannot be run without various levels of authentication. Testing costs are much lower (~half), ROI higher, and testing thoroughness is increased twentyfold when administrative access is given to all in-scope systems. Some compliance and testing standards require authentication (ex. FedRAMP RA-5(5)) Web app testing requires at least user level credentials, otherwise we'd just be testing the "login screen". | Net: Highly Recommended* Web: Required* | Net: Highly Recommended* Web: Required* | Net, wireless: Highly Recommended* Web, mobile, thick: Required* | Highly Recommended / TBD* | Varies* NOTE: Depends on the goals and objectives | Partial / Highly Recommended* | Varies |

| | Automated Testing | Penetration Testing (Basic) | Penetration testing (Standard & Premium) | Red Teaming Technique Simulation | Red Teaming Adversary Simulation | Social Engineering | "Security Testing" Custom Approach |
|--|-------------------|--|--|------------------------------------|--|---|------------------------------------|
| CLIENT REQUIREMENTS (during testing) | | | | | | | |
| On-going Time Commitment | Limited | Limited | Limited | ~1 hour per day throughout testing | 1-2 hours per day throughout testing | 1-2 hours the day of testing | Varies |
| Purple Teaming NOTE: Not only can your team benefit greatly from this approach, but testing thoroughness can as well. https://penconsultants.com/purpleTeaming | Available | Available | Recommended* | Required* | Required / Varies* | Recommended | Available |
| Pre-coordination of mitigation - testing activity treated friendly, not hostile NOTE: One of the primary difference between red teaming and other testing is being treated as a hostile threat versus authorized testing. NOTE: Except for red teaming, testing is NOT compatible when teams actively mitigate vulnerabilities or block attacks without prior coordination, as testing will be negatively impacted (ex. false negatives) and incur additional fees. | Required* | Required* | Required* | Required / Varies* | Varies* NOTE: There is better value when our "trusted insider" provides at least notification this is occurring or if it is a purple team engagement. | Required* | Varies |
| TESTING DETAILS | | | | | | | |
| Client access to real-time progress, findings, notes, etc. https://penconsultants.com/realtimeTransparency | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes* | Yes | Varies |
| Highly skilled & experienced tester(s) assigned | Varies | Typical | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Varies |
| Our testers just "wing it" | Never | Sub Option #1: Never Sub Option #2: Yes, that's what this option is | Never | Never | Never | Never | Varies |
| Recon | N/A | Sub Option #1: N/A Sub Option #2: Varies | Yes | Rare | Typical | Rare | Varies |
| Complete Endpoint Discovery (ex. all RFC 1918) | Yes | Sub Option #1: Yes Sub Option #2: Varies | Yes | Rare | Varies | N/A | Varies |
| Full Service Enumeration (ex. 65k TCP ports) | Yes | Sub Option #1: Yes Sub Option #2: Varies | Yes | Varies | Varies | N/A | Varies |
| Automated Vulnerability Scanner(s) | Yes | Sub Option #1: Yes Sub Option #2: Varies | Yes | Typical | Varies | N/A | Varies |
| Manual Vulnerability Testing | N/A | Sub Option #1: N/A Sub Option #2: Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | N/A | Varies |
| Vulnerability Verification | N/A | Yes | Yes | Yes | Varies* NOTE: Vuln identification is not a primary goal | Yes | Varies |
| Penetration | N/A | Sub Option #1: Shallow. Only tests what an automated scanner identifies, penetrates "one layer deep" as compared to penetration testing (for example) Sub Option #2: Varies | Moderate | Varies | Deep | Varies | Varies |
| Privilege Escalate | N/A | Sub Option #1: Rare Sub Option #2: Varies | Yes | Varies | Typical | Rare | Varies |
| Laterally Move | N/A | Sub Option #1: Rare Sub Option #2: Varies | Yes | Varies | Typical | Rare | Varies |
| Completion metric | Scan completes | Sub Option #1: All scan results have been manually tested Sub Option #2: Budgeted time is consumed | Completion of testing guide | Budgeted hours consumed | Action on objectives complete | Social engineering campaign(s) complete | Varies |
| OUR DELIVERABLES | | | | | | | |

